

# Stat 611: Homework 2

Moulinath Banerjee

*University of Michigan*

*February 3, 2003*

- (1) (a) Consider a regular parametric model  $\{f(x, \theta) : \theta \in \Theta \subset \mathcal{R}^k\}$ . Fix  $\theta_0 \in \Theta$  and let  $I(\theta_0)$  denote the (positive definite) information matrix at the point  $\theta_0$ . Let  $\theta = (\nu, \eta)$  be a partitioning of  $\theta$  and let  $\theta_0 = (\nu_0, \eta_0)$ . Here  $\nu$  is an  $m$ -dimensional parameter. Write the information matrix as:

$$I(\theta_0) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{11} & I_{12} \\ I_{21} & I_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

As defined in class, let

$$I_{11.2} = I_{11} - I_{12}I_{22}^{-1}I_{21}$$

and let  $I_{22.1}$  be defined similarly with 2 and 1 swapped. Write,

$$I(\theta_0)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$$

and use the fact that  $I(\theta_0) I(\theta_0)^{-1} = I = I(\theta_0)^{-1} I(\theta_0)$  to show that,

$$I(\theta_0)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{11.2}^{-1} & -I_{11.2}^{-1} I_{12} I_{22}^{-1} \\ I_{22.1}^{-1} I_{21} I_{11.2}^{-1} & I_{22.1}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (b) Use (a) to deduce that if  $Z_{k \times 1}$  follows a multivariate normal distribution with dispersion matrix  $I(\theta_0)$ , then

$$Z^T I(\theta_0)^{-1} Z^T - Z_2^T I_{22}^{-1} Z_2 \sim \chi_m^2.$$

Here  $Z_1$  denotes the first  $m$  components of  $Z$  and  $Z_2$  the remaining  $k - m$ . What is the distribution of

$$Z^T I(\theta_0)^{-1} Z^T - Z_1^T I_{11}^{-1} Z_1 ?$$

- (c) Consider the Rao/Score statistic for testing  $H_0 : \eta = \eta_0$ . This is

$$R_n \equiv Z_n(\hat{\theta}_n^0)^T I^{-1}(\hat{\theta}_n^0) Z_n(\hat{\theta}_n^0)$$

where,  $\hat{\theta}_n^0 = (\hat{\nu}_n^0, \eta_0)$  is the MLE of  $\theta$  under the null hypothesis and

$$Z_n(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \dot{l}_\nu(X_i, \nu, \eta) \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \dot{l}_\eta(X_i, \nu, \eta) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Show that under  $H_0$ ,  $R_n$  has an asymptotic  $\chi_{k-m}^2$  distribution.

(d) Consider the null hypothesis  $H_0 : \nu = \nu_0$ . Let  $\hat{\theta} = (\hat{\nu}, \hat{\eta})$  and let  $\hat{\eta}_0$  be the MLE of  $\eta$  obtained under  $H_0$ . Show that, under  $H_0$ ,

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\eta} - \hat{\eta}_0) = -I_{22}^{-1} I_{21} \sqrt{n}(\hat{\nu} - \nu_0) + o_p(1). \quad (\star)$$

Now consider the likelihood ratio statistic,  $2 \log \lambda_n$  for testing  $H_0$ . Show, that under  $H_0$ ,

$$2 \log \lambda_n = \sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) I(\theta_0) \sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) - \sqrt{n}(\hat{\eta}_0 - \eta_0) I_{22} \sqrt{n}(\hat{\eta}_0 - \eta_0) + o_p(1).$$

Now, using the representation  $(\star)$  or otherwise, show that

$$2 \log \lambda_n = n(\hat{\nu} - \nu_0)^T I_{11,2}(\hat{\nu} - \nu_0) + o_p(1).$$

Hence, deduce the asymptotic distribution of the likelihood ratio statistic.

(e) Show that  $I_{12} I_{22}^{-1} \dot{l}_2$  is the closest element to  $\dot{l}_1$  in the span of  $\dot{l}_2$  in the sense that

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{\alpha} E(\dot{l}_1(X) - \alpha^T \dot{l}_2(X))^2 = I_{12} I_{22}^{-1}.$$

(2) (a) Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a sample from the exponential distribution with parameter  $\theta$  and let  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  be a sample from an exponential  $\mu$  distribution. Also, let the first sample be independent of the second. Consider testing the null hypothesis  $\mu = 2\theta$ . Use an appropriate reparametrization to recast the null hypothesis into the form  $\psi = \psi_0$  for some fixed  $\psi_0$ , compute the likelihood ratio and Wald statistics and determine their asymptotic distributions under the null.

(b) For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  let  $X_{i1}, X_{i2}, \dots, X_{in}$  be independent samples from Poisson distributions,  $\operatorname{Poi}(\theta_i)$  respectively. Find the likelihood ratio test and its asymptotic distribution for testing  $H_0 : \theta_1 = \theta_2 = \dots = \theta_k$ .

(3) Let  $X$  follow the Beta( $\alpha, \beta$ ) distribution. Thus,

$$p(\theta, x) = p(\alpha, \beta, x) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} x^{\alpha-1} (1-x)^{\beta-1} \mathbf{1}(x \in (0, 1)).$$

This is a regular parametric model. Let,

$$\psi(\alpha) \equiv \frac{\Gamma'(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}.$$

(a) Compute the scores for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  based on one  $X$  following Beta( $\alpha, \beta$ ). Hence, compute the information matrix.

(b) What is the information for  $\alpha$  if  $\beta$  is known? What if  $\beta$  is unknown? Draw a

picture of the scores to illustrate this geometrically.

(c) Now, suppose that we have i.i.d. observations  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  from a  $\text{Beta}(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$  distribution. Let  $q(\theta) = \psi(\alpha) - \psi(\beta)$ .

(i) Compute the efficient influence function and the information bound for the estimation of  $q$  at the point  $\theta_0 \equiv (\alpha_0, \beta_0)$ .

(ii) Propose a method of moments estimator of the parameter  $q(\theta)$ ; i.e. find some  $h(X)$  such that  $E_\theta(h(X))$  is  $q(\theta)$  and use  $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n h(X_i)$  as an estimate of  $q(\theta)$ . Compute  $\text{Var}_\theta(h(X_1))$  and determine the asymptotic distribution of your estimate.

4 **Contiguity in nonparametric models:** Contiguity is a concept that can also be effectively used in nonparametric problems. This exercise deals with contiguity in a nonregular problem. Consider, a regression model of the form,

$$Y = r(X) + \epsilon$$

where  $X$  is a random variable taking values in  $[0, 1]$  and  $\epsilon$  is a  $N(0, 1)$  random variable. (More generally,  $X$  can be allowed to vary in any compact set and  $\epsilon$  can have arbitrary variance.) Here  $X$  and  $\epsilon$  are independent and  $X$  has a continuously differentiable density  $f$ . Also, the regression function  $r$  is continuously differentiable in  $(0, 1)$ .

Let  $P_{r,f,\sigma^2}$  denote the distribution of  $(X, Y)$  when the regression function is  $r$ . Now, fix a point  $t_0$  in  $(0, 1)$  and consider a sequence of regression functions of the form,

$$r_n(t) = r(t) + n^{-1/3} B_n(n^{1/3}(t - t_0))$$

where  $B_n$  is a sequence of continuously differentiable functions converging uniformly to a (continuously differentiable) function  $B$  on a compact set  $[-K, K]$  and vanishing outside of it. Let  $P_{r_n,f,\sigma^2}$  denote the distribution of  $(X, Y)$  when the regression function is  $r_n$ . By  $P_{r,f,\sigma^2}^n$ , we denote the joint distribution of i.i.d. random vectors  $(X_1, Y_1), (X_2, Y_2), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$  when  $(X_1, Y_1) \sim P_{r,f,\sigma^2}$ ; by  $P_{r_n,f,\sigma^2}^n$ , we denote the joint distribution of i.i.d. random vectors  $(X_1, Y_1), (X_2, Y_2), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$  when  $(X_1, Y_1) \sim P_{r_n,f,\sigma^2}$ . By  $A_n(t)$  we denote the function  $B_n(n^{1/3}(t - t_0))$ .

(a) Show, using the outline given below, that the sequence of probability measures  $\{P_{r_n,f,\sigma^2}^n\}$  and  $\{P_{r,f,\sigma^2}^n\}$  are mutually contiguous.

**Outline:** Let  $L_n(r)$  denote the likelihood function of the data  $\{X_i, Y_i\}_{i=1}^n$  under regression function  $r$  and  $L_n(r_n)$  denote the likelihood function under  $r_n$ . Consider the log likelihood ratio  $\lambda_n$ ,

$$\lambda_n = \log L_n(r_n) - \log L_n(r) \equiv \log \frac{dP_{r_n,f,\sigma^2}^n}{dP_{r,f,\sigma^2}^n}.$$

We will deduce the asymptotic distribution of  $\lambda_n$  under  $\{P_{r,f,\sigma^2}^n\}$ .

Show that,

$$\lambda_n = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i (r_n(X_i) - r(X_i)) - \sum_{i=1}^n (r_n(X_i) - r(X_i))^2 \right].$$

Before proceeding further, let's introduce some notation. Let  $\mathbb{P}_n$  denote the empirical measure of  $(X_1, \epsilon_1), (X_2, \epsilon_2), \dots, (X_n, \epsilon_n)$  i.e. the probability measure that places mass  $1/n$  at each point. If we take a function of  $(X, \epsilon)$ , say  $g(X, \epsilon)$  then by  $\mathbb{P}_n g(X, \epsilon)$  we denote the expected value of  $g(X, \epsilon)$  under  $\mathbb{P}_n$ . Thus,

$$\mathbb{P}_n g(X, \epsilon) \equiv E_{\mathbb{P}_n} g(X, \epsilon) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(X_i, \epsilon_i).$$

Also,

$$P g(X, \epsilon) \equiv E_{P_{r,f,\sigma^2}} g(X, \epsilon)$$

and

$$(\mathbb{P}_n - P) g(X, \epsilon) \equiv \mathbb{P}_n g(X, \epsilon) - P g(X, \epsilon).$$

Starting off from where we left, show,

$$\lambda_n = I_n - II_n$$

where

$$I_n = n^{2/3} (\mathbb{P}_n - P) (\epsilon A_n(X)),$$

and

$$II_n = \frac{1}{2} n^{1/3} \mathbb{P}_n (A_n^2(X)).$$

Show that, under  $P_{r,f,\sigma^2}$ ,

$$II_n \rightarrow_p a^2/2 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int_{-K}^K B^2(z) dz f(t_0),$$

by showing that  $II_n - E II_n$  converges to 0 in probability and studying the limit of  $E II_n$ . Next, note that,

$$I_n = \sqrt{n} (\mathbb{P}_n - P) s_n(X, \epsilon)$$

where

$$s_n(X, \epsilon) = n^{1/6} \epsilon A_n(X).$$

Thus,

$$\lambda_n = \sqrt{n} (\mathbb{P}_n - P) s_n(X, \epsilon) - \frac{a^2}{2} + o_p(1).$$

Use the Lindeberg–Feller CLT to deduce that,

$$\sqrt{n} (\mathbb{P}_n - P) s_n(X, \epsilon) \rightarrow_d N(0, a^2)$$

under  $P_{r,f,\sigma^2}$ . (A rigorous proof will need verification of the Lindeberg–Feller condition. If you want to be non-rigorous, you can just work out the limiting variance of  $s_n$ .) Hence deduce that the two sequences of probability measures are contiguous. Clearly indicate the results that you use.

(b) A key process that needs to be studied in doing likelihood and likelihood ratio based inference in this model, when  $r$  is monotone, is

$$\mathbb{M}_n(z) = \sqrt{n}(\mathbb{P}_n - P) f_{n,z}$$

where

$$f_{n,z} = n^{1/6} \frac{1}{f(t_0)} \left[ (Y - r(t_0)) (1 \{X \leq t_0 + z n^{-1/3}\} - 1 \{X \leq t_0\}) \right].$$

Consider a fixed  $z > 0$ . Compute the limiting distribution (this will be bivariate normal) of

$$(\mathbb{M}_n(z), \log L_n(r_n) - \log L_n(r))$$

under  $\{P_{r,f,\sigma^2}^n\}$ . (A rigorous proof will need the Lindeberg–Feller CLT to be used in conjunction with the Cramer–Wold device; if you want to be sketchier, just work out the mean and covariance matrix of the limit distribution) and hence, deduce the limit distribution of  $\mathbb{M}_n(z)$  under the sequence  $\{P_{r_n,f,\sigma^2}^n\}$ .

**See the notes on “Basic Large Sample Theory” for the Lindeberg–Feller CLT or a standard probability text (Billingsley, Chung). I will post something on it on the web too.**