

1. Let $\pi_0 = (1, 0)$ denote the initial distribution. Let π_n denote the distribution at time n . We have

$$\pi_n = \pi_0 P^n, \quad \text{with} \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} 0.9 & 0.1 \\ 0.2 & 0.8 \end{pmatrix}.$$

There exists a matrix Ω such that

$$P = \Omega \Lambda \Omega^{-1}, \quad \text{with} \quad \Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where λ_1 and λ_2 are the distinct eigenvalues of the matrix P . Hence,

$$P^n = (\Omega \Lambda \Omega^{-1})^n = \Omega \Lambda^n \Omega^{-1} = \Omega \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^n & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2^n \end{pmatrix} \Omega^{-1}.$$

We want to calculate

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n = 1) = \pi_n(1) = a\lambda_1^n + b\lambda_2^n.$$

To find λ_1 and λ_2 , solving the characteristic equation we obtain

$$\lambda^2 - 1.7\lambda + 0.7 = 0,$$

which gives $\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = 0.7$. It follows

$$\pi_n(1) = a + b0.7^n.$$

Note also that $\pi_0(1) = 1$ and $\pi_1(1) = 0.9$. It follows

$$\begin{cases} a + b & = 1 \\ a + 0.7b & = 0.9 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} a = \frac{2}{3} \\ b = \frac{1}{3} \end{cases}$$

Finally,

$$\pi_n(1) = a + b0.7^n = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{3} \times 0.7^n.$$

2. Define the process is *on* at t if $X_{N(t)+1} \leq x$ and *off* if $X_{N(t)+1} > x$. Then, by the alternating renewal theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(X_{N(t)+1} \leq x) &= \lim \mathbb{P}(\text{the process is } \textit{on} \text{ at time } t) \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{E}(\textit{on time in a interval})}{\mathbb{E}(\textit{time in a interval})} = \frac{\mathbb{E}(X \mathbf{1}_{X \leq x})}{\mathbb{E}X} = \frac{\int_0^x y dF(y)}{\mathbb{E}(X)}. \end{aligned}$$

When $N(t)$ is a Poisson process with rate λ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^x y dF(y) &= \int_0^x y \lambda \exp\{-\lambda y\} dy = -y \exp\{-\lambda y\} \Big|_0^x + \int_0^x \exp\{-\lambda y\} dy \\ &= -x \exp\{-\lambda x\} + \frac{1}{\lambda} (1 - \exp\{-\lambda x\}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(X_{N(t)+1} \leq x) = 1 - (1 + \lambda x) \exp\{-\lambda x\}.$$

Note that this is different from the distribution of interval time of Poisson process with parameter λ , which equals $F(x) = 1 - \exp\{-\lambda x\}$.

3. (i) of B is immediate. To show (ii), it suffices to show $N(t)$ has stationary increments. That is, the distribution of $N(t+h) - N(t)$ depends only on h . This follows from (iii) of A . To complete the proof, we show that (iii) and (iv) of B hold by Taylor expansion:

$$\mathbb{P}(N(h) = 1) = \mathbb{P}(N(h) - N(0) = 1) = \frac{\exp\{-\lambda h\} \lambda h}{1!} = \lambda h(1 - \lambda h + o(h)) = \lambda h + o(h),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(N(h) \geq 2) &= 1 - \mathbb{P}(N(h) = 1) - \mathbb{P}(N(h) = 0) = 1 - (\lambda h + 1) \exp\{\lambda h\} \\ &= 1 - (1 + \lambda h)(1 - \lambda h + o(h)) = o(h). \end{aligned}$$

4. (i) and (ii) of A follow immediately from (i) and (ii) of B . To show that (iii) of A holds, one can decompose $[0, t]$ equally into n sub-intervals with length t/n . First note that, the probability that there are two or more arrivals in one sub-intervals is $o(t/n)$. Thus, the probability that there are two or more arrivals in any sub-intervals is $o(n \times t/n) = o(1)$. Hence, letting $h = t/n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(N(t) = k) &= \mathbb{P}(k \text{ sub-intervals contain exactly one arrival, } n - k \text{ contain none}) + o(1) \\ &= \binom{n}{k} (\lambda h + o(h))^k (1 - \lambda h + o(h))^{n-k} + o(1). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Observe that the first part of (1) equals $\mathbb{P}(X_n = k)$ where X_n is a Binomial random variable with parameter $\lambda h + o(h)$. Recall that for any sequence of binomial random variables $\{X_n\}$ satisfying X_n is a binomial random variable with parameter $np_n \rightarrow \lambda$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the distribution of X_n converges to the Poisson distribution with parameter λ . That is, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, Equation (1) becomes

$$\mathbb{P}(N(t) = k) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n\lambda h + o(h) = \lambda t.$$

By (ii) of B , we have shown (iii) of A .