

- (1) The marginal density of  $X$  is

$$f_X(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\theta} 2x\theta e^{-\theta x^2} d\theta = \frac{2x}{(1+x^2)^2},$$

and so

$$f_{\Theta|X}(\theta|x) = (1+x^2)^2 \theta e^{-(1+x^2)\theta}, \quad \theta > 0.$$

The posterior mean is

$$\int_0^\infty (1+x^2)^2 \theta^2 e^{-(1+x^2)\theta} d\theta = \frac{2}{1+x^2}.$$

Setting

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f_{\Theta|X}(\theta|x) = \frac{1}{\theta} - (1+x^2)$$

to zero, the mode of the posterior density is  $1/(1+x^2)$ , exactly half the posterior mean.

- (2) The joint density is

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta) = \theta^n \exp\left(-\theta \sum_{i=1}^n (1/x_i)\right) / \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^2,$$

and  $T = \sum_{i=1}^n (1/X_i)$  is sufficient by the factorization theorem.

- (3) The likelihood ratio  $f_0(X)/f_1(X)$  is  $2/(3X)$ , and the likelihood ratio test will reject  $H_0$  if  $2/(3X) < k$ , or if  $X > 2/(3k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tilde{k}$ . The significance level of this test is

$$\alpha = P_{H_0}(X > \tilde{k}) = \int_{\tilde{k}}^1 2x dx = 1 - \tilde{k}^2.$$

Solving  $1 - \tilde{k}^2 = 19\%$ ,  $\tilde{k} = .9$  gives  $\alpha = 19\%$ , so the best test with this significance level rejects  $H_0$  if  $X > .9$ . The power of this test is

$$P_{H_1}(X > .9) = \int_{.9}^1 3x^2 dx = 27.1\%.$$

- (4) The likelihood ratio statistic is

$$\Lambda = \frac{f(X|0)}{\max_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} f(X|\theta)} = e^{-|X|},$$

so the generalized likelihood ratio test will reject  $H_0$  if  $|X| > k$ . The level of this test is

$$\alpha = P_0(|X| > k) = \int_{|x|>k} \frac{1}{2} e^{-|x|} dx = e^{-k}.$$

To have  $\alpha = 1/e$  we need  $k = 1$ , so the test rejects if  $|X| > 1$ . The power of the test if  $\theta = 1$  is

$$P_1(|X| > 1) = \int_{|x|>1} \frac{1}{2} e^{-|x-1|} dx = \frac{e^2 + 1}{2e^2}.$$

- (5) The maximum likelihood for  $p$  under the null hypothesis is  $\bar{X}/4 = 40\%$ . So  $E_i = 25 \binom{4}{i} (.4)^i (.6)^{4-i}$ , or 3.24, 8.64, 8.64, 3.84, and 0.64 for  $i = 0, \dots, 4$ , respectively. So

$$-2 \log \Lambda = 2 \sum_{i=0}^4 O_i \log(O_i/E_i) = 10.5.$$

The 95-th percentile for the chi-square distribution on 3 degrees of freedom is 7.81, so we would reject  $H_0$ .

- (6) The pooled sample variance is  $s_p^2 = (0.061 + 0.100)/2 = 0.0805$ , so

$$s_{\bar{X}-\bar{Y}}^2 = s_p^2(1/10 + 1/10) = 0.0161 = (0.127)^2.$$

The test statistic to test whether the means are equal is

$$T = \frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y}}{s_{\bar{X}-\bar{Y}}} = 9.142.$$

Comparing  $|T|$  with  $t_{18}(0.025) = 2.101$ , we would (easily) reject  $H_0$  when  $\alpha = 5\%$ . The confidence interval is

$$(\bar{X} - \bar{Y} \pm t_{18}(0.05)s_{\bar{X}-\bar{Y}}) = (1.16 \pm 0.22).$$

- (7) The 95th percentile for the  $F$ -distribution on 3 and 16 degrees is 3.24. Since the  $F$ -statistic in the ANOVA table below exceeds this quantile, we would reject the null hypothesis that detergent does not effect suds.

Source	df	SS	MS	F
Suds	3	111.2	37.07	7.34
Error	16	80.8	5.05	
Total	19	192.0		

- (8) Since  $\bar{x} = 3$ ,  $\bar{Y} = 6$ ,  $\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2 = 10$  and  $\sum(x_i - \bar{x})(Y_i - \bar{Y}) = 20$ ,

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2} = 2$$

and

$$\hat{\alpha} = \bar{Y} - \hat{\beta}\bar{x} = 0.$$

Then  $\sum e_i^2 = \sum(Y_i - \hat{\alpha} - \hat{\beta}x_i)^2 = 10$  and  $s^2 = 10/3 = 3.33$ .  
Using this,

$$s_{\hat{\beta}}^2 = \frac{s^2}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2} = 0.333,$$

and  $s_{\hat{\beta}} = 0.577$ . The 95% confidence interval for  $\beta$  is

$$(\hat{\beta} \pm t_3(0.025)s_{\hat{\beta}}) = (1 \pm 1.837).$$