

Structural Nested Mean Models for Assessing Time-Varying Effect Moderation

Daniel Almirall

**University of Michigan, Department of Statistics
& Institute for Social Research**

Joint work with Thomas Ten Have (UPenn)

& Susan Murphy (UMich)

March 27, 2006

Contents

1	Introduction & Motivation	3
2	The PROSPECT Study	6
3	Time-Varying Effect Moderation	7
4	Robins' Structural Nested Mean Model	8
5	Estimation	10
6	Coming Soon, Future Work, Etc.	15

1 Introduction & Motivation

In any study of the effects of a treatment A on a response Y , there are three reasons to adjust for concomitant pre-treatment variables S :

1. **Confounding:** S is correlated with both A and Y . In this case, S is known as a "confounder" of the effect of A on Y .
2. **Precision:** S may be a pre-treatment measure of Y , or any other variable highly correlated with Y .
3. **Effect Heterogeneity:** S may moderate, temper, or specify the effect of A on Y . In this case, S is known as a "moderator" of the effect of A on Y .

Effect Moderation in $K = 1$ Time Point

Definition: Fix $a \neq 0$. Let

$$\mu(s, a) \equiv E[Y(a) - Y(0) \mid S = s].$$

If $\mu(s, a)$ is non-constant in s , then S is said to be a *moderator* of the effect of a on Y .

Example: If the structural model for the conditional mean of $Y(a)$ given S is

$$E[Y(a) \mid S = s] = \beta_0 + \gamma_1 s + \beta_1 a + \beta_2 sa,$$

then $\mu(s, a) = \beta_1 a + \beta_2 sa$. In this example, S is a moderator of the effect of a on Y if $\beta_2 \neq 0$.

Focus of this paper is Time-Varying Effect Moderation

This paper offers a **method for studying effect moderation** in the longitudinal setting **when treatments, as well as the covariates that modify the effect of treatment, vary over time.**

2 The PROSPECT Study

Consider $K = 2$, with patient visits at 4 and 8 months and the following data structure: $\{S_1, a_1, S_2(a_1), a_2, Y(a_1, a_2)\}$.

(a_1, a_2) Adherence to assigned treatment pattern

$Y(a_1, a_2)$ Depression at the end of the study

S_1 Baseline covariates: both person-specific characteristics and baseline measures of intermediate outcomes, e.g., $S_1 = (\text{Race, Gender, Suicidal ideation at } t = 1, \text{Cognitive status at } t = 1)$

$S_2(a_1)$ Time $t = 2$ measures of the intermediate outcomes, e.g., $S_2 = (\text{Suicidal ideation at } t = 2, \text{Cognitive status at } t = 2)$

3 Time-Varying Effect Moderation

Recall the data structure $\{S_1, a_1, S_2(a_1), a_2, Y(a_1, a_2)\}$.

Intermediate Effect at $t = 1$: Study how a_1 affects Y on average, as a function of S_1 :

$$\mu_1(s_1, a_1) \equiv E[Y(a_1, 0) - Y(0, 0) \mid S_1 = s_1] \stackrel{\text{say}}{=} \beta_1 a_1 + \beta_2 s_1 a_1$$

Intermediate Effect at $t = 2$: Study how a_2 affects Y on average, as a function of S_1, a_1 , and S_2 :

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_2(s_1, a_1, s_2, a_2) &\equiv E[Y(a_1, a_2) - Y(a_1, 0) \mid S_1 = s_1, S_2(a_1) = s_2] \\ &\stackrel{\text{say}}{=} \beta_3 a_2 + \beta_4 s_1 a_2 + \beta_5 s_2 a_2 + \beta_6 a_1 a_2 \end{aligned}$$

4 Robins' Structural Nested Mean Model

Recall the data structure $\{S_1, a_1, S_2(a_1), a_2, Y(a_1, a_2)\}$.

We can express the SNMM for the conditional mean of $Y(a_1, a_2)$ given $\bar{S}_2(a_1)$ as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[Y(a_1, a_2) \mid \bar{S}_2(a_1)] &= \left\{ E[Y(a_1, a_2) - Y(a_1, 0) \mid \bar{S}_2(a_1)] \right\} \\
 &+ \left\{ E[Y(a_1, 0) \mid \bar{S}_2(a_1)] - E[Y(a_1, 0) \mid S_1] \right\} \\
 &+ \left\{ E[Y(a_1, 0) - Y(0, 0) \mid S_1] \right\} \\
 &+ \left\{ E[Y(0, 0) \mid S_1] - E[Y(0, 0)] \right\} + E[Y(0, 0)]
 \end{aligned}$$

Identifying the Causal and Nuisance Functionals

Recall the data structure $\{S_1, a_1, S_2(a_1), a_2, Y(a_1, a_2)\}$. This decomposition can be written more succinctly as:

$$E[Y(a_1, a_2) \mid \bar{S}_2(a_1) = \bar{s}_2] = \mu_2(\bar{s}_2, \bar{a}_2) + \epsilon_2(\bar{s}_2, a_1) \\ + \mu_1(s_1, a_1) + \epsilon_1(s_1) + \mu_0$$

where

- $\epsilon_2(\bar{s}_2, a_1) = E[Y(a_1, 0) \mid \bar{S}_2(a_1) = \bar{s}_2] - E[Y(a_1, 0) \mid S_1 = s_1]$,
- $\epsilon_1(s_1) = E[Y(0, 0) \mid S_1 = s_1] - E[Y(0, 0)]$,
- $\mu_2(\bar{s}_2, a_2, 0) = 0$ and $\mu_1(s_1, 0) = 0$,
- $E_{S_2|S_1}[\epsilon_2(\bar{s}_2, a_1) \mid S_1 = s_1] = 0$, and $E_{S_1}[\epsilon_1(s_1)] = 0$.

5 Estimation

The article discusses two estimators for β :

1. 2-Stage Regression Estimator
2. Robins' Semi-parametric G-Estimator

Both estimators rely on Robins' **Consistency** and **Sequential Ignorability** assumptions for causal inference in the time-varying setting. The 2-Stage Estimator makes stronger modelling assumptions.

2-Stage Estimator

Recall the constraints on the nuisance functions:

- $\epsilon_2(\bar{s}_2, a_1) = E[Y(a_1, 0) \mid \bar{S}_2(a_1) = \bar{s}_2] - E[Y(a_1, 0) \mid S_1 = s_1]$,
- $\epsilon_1(s_1) = E[Y(0, 0) \mid S_1 = s_1] - E[Y(0, 0)]$,
- $E_{S_2|S_1}[\epsilon_2(\bar{s}_2, a_1) \mid S_1 = s_1] = 0$, and $E_{S_1}[\epsilon_1(s_1)] = 0$.

A parametrization of the nuisance functions may take the form:

$$\epsilon_1(s_1, a_1) \stackrel{\text{say}}{=} \eta_{1,1}(s_1 - E(S_1))$$

$$\epsilon_2(\bar{s}_2, a_1) \stackrel{\text{say}}{=} (\eta_{2,1} + \eta_{2,2}s_1)(s_2 - E(S_2(a_1) \mid S_1 = s_1))$$

2-Stage Estimator - Algorithm

Recall that $E[Y(a_1, a_2) \mid \bar{S}_2(a_1) = \bar{s}_2] = \mu_2(\bar{s}_2, \bar{a}_2; \beta_2) + \epsilon_2(\bar{s}_2, a_1; \eta_2, \gamma_2) + \mu_1(s_1, a_1; \beta_1) + \epsilon_1(s_1; \eta_1, \gamma_1) + \mu_0$.

Steps (given models for the μ 's):

1. Model $m_1(\gamma_1) = E(S_1)$ and estimate γ_1 using OLS; model $m_2(s_1, a_1; \gamma_2) = E(S_2(a_1) \mid S_1 = s_1)$ and estimate γ_2 using OLS.
2. Construct residuals $\hat{s}_1^* = s_1 - \hat{m}_1(\hat{\gamma}_1)$ and $\hat{s}_2^* = s_2 - \hat{m}_2(s_1, a_1; \hat{\gamma}_2)$.
3. Construct models for the ϵ 's based on the residuals.
4. Obtain $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\eta} = (\hat{\eta}_1^T, \hat{\eta}_2^T)^T$ using OLS of $Y \sim \mu$'s and ϵ 's.

Robins' Semi-parametric Efficient Estimator

Robins' estimator is the solution to the following set of estimating equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 = P_n \left[\right. & \left(Y - g_2(\bar{S}_2, A_1) - (H_2\beta_2)(A_2 - p_2(\bar{S}_2, A_1)) \right) \\
 & \times [H_2^T, 0]^T \left(A_2 - p_2(\bar{S}_2, A_1) \right) \\
 & + \left(Y - H_2A_2\beta_2 - g_1(S_1) - (H_1\beta_1)(A_1 - p_1(S_1)) \right) \\
 & \left. \times [\Delta(H_1)^T, H_1^T]^T \left(A_1 - p_1(S_1) \right) \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

where...

Robins' Estimator (continued)

...where

- H_t summarizes the history $(\bar{S}_t, \bar{A}_{t-1})$ (e.g., as in a design matrix); and make up the models for the intermediate effect functions, as in $\mu_1 = H_1 A_1 \beta_2$ and $\mu_2 = H_2 A_2 \beta_2$,
- $\Delta(H_1) = E [H_2 A_2 | S_1, A_1 = 1] - E [H_2 A_2 | S_1, A_1 = 0]$
- $g_2(\bar{S}_2, A_1) = E [Y | \bar{S}_2, A_1]$ & $g_1(S_1) = E [Y - H_2 A_2 | S_1]$
- $p_2(\bar{S}_2, A_1) = E [A_2 | \bar{S}_2, A_1]$ & $p_1(S_1) = E [A_1 | S_1]$

6 Coming Soon, Future Work, Etc.

1. Forthcoming work describes bias-variance trade-off
2. Many possible avenues for future work include
 - (a) Dealing with time-varying confounders
 - (b) Extensions to longitudinal outcomes
 - (c) Other Estimators: MLE, Orthogonal 2-Stage Estimator
 - (d) Other decompositions of the total causal effect
3. Challenging Issues
 - (a) Power, multicollinearity, effect size issues
 - (b) Aliasing with high-ordered main effects
 - (c) Multiple comparison issues